## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FERRILL J. VOLPICELLI,	1
Petitioner,	3:10-cv-00005-LRH-VP0
vs.	ORDER
JACK PALMER, et al.,	
Respondents.	

Following upon petitioner's motion (#47) for partial dismissal of the claims held by the Court to be unexhausted,

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's motion (#47) for partial dismissal is GRANTED and that the following claims are DISMISSED without prejudice:

- (a) Grounds 1 through 6, 10, 12 through 17, and 19 through 22;
- (b) all claims in Ground 7 **other than** the claim that petitioner was denied effective assistance of counsel when trial counsel failed to protect him from an allegedly excessive restitution order by the sentencing court;
- (c) all claims in Ground 8 **other than** the claim that petitioner was denied effective assistance of counsel when trial counsel allowed him to be subjected to an indictment that allegedly contained multiplicitous and duplicitous charges, violating his right to be free from double jeopardy;

1	(d)	any and all claims remaining in Ground 9 following upon the
2		Court's dismissal of the claim of ineffective assistance of
3		counsel in Ground 9;
4	(e)	all claims in Ground 11 <b>other than</b> the claim that petitioner was
5		denied effective assistance of counsel when trial counsel failed
6		to impeach accomplice Brett Bowman's allegedly inconsistent
7		and/or perjured testimony with his prior inconsistent statements;
8	(f)	all claims in Ground 18 other than the claim in Ground 18(b)
9		that petitioner was denied effective assistance of counsel when
10		trial and appellate counsel did not argue that the February 11,
11		2004, conviction did not constitute a prior offense under N.R.S.
12		207.010 for purposes of habitual criminal enhancement; and
13	(g)	any and all claims remaining in Ground 23 following upon the
14		Court's dismissal of Ground 23 in part as redundant of a claim
15		in Ground 18(b).
16	IT FURTHER IS ORDERE	D that respondents shall file an answer to the remaining claims
17	within thirty (30) days of entry of this order and that petitioner shall have thirty (30) days from service	
18	of the answer within which to mail a reply to the answer to the Clerk for filing.	
19	Due to the age of the case, extensions of time will be considered only for extraordinary	
20	circumstances. In the event of scheduling conflicts with other matters in this Court, any request	
21	for extension of time should be sou	ght in the later-filed case.
22	DATED this 19th day of Nove	ember, 2012.
23		Flank
24		
25		LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		2
27		

28